



Handout 3A – Draft Project Screening, Methodology

Meeting 7

September 18 and 20, 2012 – 2 -5 pm

Vacaville and Clear Lake

The following provides the proposed scoring methodology that will be used to compare the projects submitted for consideration to be included into the Westside IRWM Plan. The factors that will be used to compare the projects are listed below and are consistent with DWR's IRWM Plan development guidelines, and the factors presented and discussed at Meeting No. 6 on 9 July 2012 (see Handout 3). Listed below each factor is a brief summary of the scoring methodology, and the possible points assigned in each category.

The total scoring results are intended to facilitate discussion amongst interested participants and is just for information; it is not a prioritization and is not a prescriptive ranking of projects.

Readiness to Proceed

1. Planning Completed
 - 1 = Planning is completed or started
 - 0 = Planning not completed; conceptual
2. CEQA/NEPA completed or not required*
 - 1 = CEQA/NEPA is completed, or process is started, or proposed project does not require or is exempt.
 - 0 = CEQA/NEPA process has not commenced and is required
3. Permitting completed or not required
 - 1 = Permitting process completed or started, or not required
 - 0 = Permitting process has not commenced
4. Program or project design completed
 - 1 = Design completed or started
 - 0 = Design has not commenced
5. Construction/Implementation commenced
 - 1 = Construction/Implementation of project has started
 - 0 = Construction/Implementation of project has not commenced
6. Has a strong project proponent. Strong Project Proponent is a committed proponent who has the authority, capability, and funding (or qualify for match waiver as DAC for a critical water supply/quality project) to proceed with the project as described.
 - 1 = yes
 - 0 = no



- 7. Earliest implementation start date (Project can begin **implementation** by September 1, 2015)
 - 1 = Project can be implemented within 36 months
 - 0 = Implementation could take 36 months or longer
- 8. Cost Estimate prepared with some detail*
 - 1 = Cost estimate is prepared
 - 0 = No cost estimate prepared
- 9. Identified source(s) of funding to advance project*
 - 1 = yes
 - 0 = no

Regional Support and Integration

- 10. Has broad support among Westside IRWM Plan stakeholders
 - 1 = Yes
 - 0 = No
- 11. Encourages or supports regional cooperation and collaboration
 - 1 = yes
 - 0 = no
- 12. Integrates easily with other projects
 - 1 = Yes
 - 0 = No

Implementation Feasibility

- 13. Consistency with General Plans
 - 1 = yes
 - 0 = no
- 14. Technical and economic feasibility of project*
 - 2 = Project is both technically and economically feasible
 - 1 = Project is technically or economically feasible with funding assistance
 - 0 = Project is not technically or economically feasible



Impacts and Benefits

15. Number of IRWM Plan Objectives Addressed*

- 2 points - >5 objectives
- 1 point – 2-5 objectives
- 0 points – 0-1 for each plan objective addressed

16. Number of Resource Management Strategies Addressed*

- 2 points – >5 resource management strategy addressed
- 1 point – 2-5 resource management strategy addressed
- 0 points – 0 -1 resource management strategies addressed

17. Number of Statewide Program Preferences Addressed

- 1 if more than one Program Preference addressed
- 0 if no Program Preferences addressed

18. Number of Statewide Priorities Addressed (**Please Note the total score does not include the number of Statewide Priorities addressed; this is only reported for informational purposes**)

- 1 for each Statewide Priority addressed
- 0 if no Statewide Priorities addressed

19. Potential negative impacts to other objectives

- 0 = no, potential negative impacts to other objectives
- 1 = yes, may cause negative impacts to other objectives

20. Serves a disadvantaged community, Tribal community, and/or Meets Environmental Justice concern*

- 1 = yes
- 0 = no

21. Project contributes to climate change adaptation*

- 1 = yes
- 0 = no

22. Project contributes to reduction in greenhouse gas (GHG) emission*

- 1 = yes
- 0 = no

* Indicates Project Review factors per Proposition 84 Guidelines